

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,414	10/612,414 07/		Yoshio Kurosawa	1324.68134	3620
7	590	11/03/2005		EXAM	INER
Patrick G. Bu	rns, Esq.		ERDEM, FAZLI		
GREER, BUR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	S00 South Wacker Dr., Suite 2500 Chicago, IL 60606  2826			-	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

18		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/612,414	KUROSAWA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fazli Erdem	2826			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Oc	ctober 2005.				
·		action is non-final.				
• -	<del>_</del>					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The specific and the second seco	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	ne allached detailed Office action for a list (	or the certified cobies that teceive	u.			
Attachmen	` '	<b></b>				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/612,414 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed on 10/12/2005, with respect to claims 5 and 6 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The final rejection issued on 7/12/2005 has been withdrawn. However, after further search and consideration the following non-final rejection has been issued.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 7 and 8 allowed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 5 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. (6,603,453) in view of further in view of Yamazaki et al. (2001/0052950) further in view of Satou et al. (6,864,134)

Regarding Claims 5 and 6, Yamazaki et al. ('453) disclose a semiconductor device and method for manufacturing the same where in Fig. 1, a P channel TFT and an N channel TFT are disclosed on a substrate 801. Furthermore, P channel TFT has a fires gate insulation film 805, a second gate insulating film 808, gate electrode 813 on the second gate insulation film. N channel TFT also has a first gate insulation film and a gate

Art Unit: 2826

electrode 814 disposed on the second gate insulation film 809. Yamazaki et al. fail to disclose the required gate insulating layer over semiconductor layer, the required lightly doped regions and the required gate electrode between gate insulating layers. However, Yamazaki et al. ('950) disclose s semiconductor display device and manufacturing method thereof where in claims 1, 2, 3, 16 and 19 the required gate insulating layer over semiconductor layer and the required lightly doped regions are disclosed. Furthermore, Satou et al. disclose a manufacturing method of thin film transistor substrate where in Figs. 2B and 2C the required gate electrode between gate insulating layers is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required insulating layer over semiconductor layer with lightly doped regions and the required gate electrode between gate insulating films in Yamazaki et al. as taught by Yamazaki et al. ('950) et al. and Satou et al., respectively, in order to have a liquid crystal panel display device with increased performance.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,414

Art Unit: 2826

512,414

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE

October 29, 2005

NATHAN J. FLANN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800